PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0	2	MAR	2006
WIPO		-		PGT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

1	licant's or agent's file reference 6010WO/DJW/JT	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416			
		International filing date (day/month/year) 09.02.2005	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.02.2004			
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC H03F1/08, H03F1/02, H03F3/19, H03C1/06						
Applicant NUJIRA LIMITED et al.						
1.	 This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36. 					
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet.					
3.	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:					
	a. \square sent to the applicant and to the International Bureau) a total of sheets, as follows:					
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).					
	☐ sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental Box.					
:	b. (sent to the International Bureau only) a total of (indicate type and number of electronic carrier(s)), containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).					
1						
e.	and the second of the second o		A T T			
4.	This report contains indications rel	ating to the following items:				
4.	This report contains indications rel		* / !			
4.	This report contains indications rel					
4.	This report contains indications rel ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin ☐ Box No. II Priority	nion				
4.	This report contains indications rel ☑ Box No. I Basis of the opin ☐ Box No. II Priority	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent				
4.	This report contains indications rel ☐ Box No. I Basis of the opin ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishme ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i ☐ Box No. V Reasoned stater	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent	ive step and industrial applicability			
4.	This report contains indications rel ☐ Box No. I Basis of the opin ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishme ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i ☐ Box No. V Reasoned stater	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nov tions and explanations supporting such sta	ive step and industrial applicability			
4.	This report contains indications rel ☐ Box No. I Basis of the opin ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishme ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of i ☐ Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cita ☐ Box No. VI Certain document	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nov tions and explanations supporting such sta	ive step and industrial applicability			
4.	This report contains indications rel Box No. I Basis of the opin Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishme Box No. IV Lack of unity of i Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cita Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects in	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nove tions and explanations supporting such sta	ive step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial tement			
	This report contains indications rel Box No. I Basis of the opin Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishme Box No. IV Lack of unity of i Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cita Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects in	nion ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nove tions and explanations supporting such sta nts cited n the international application	ive step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial tement			
Date	This report contains indications rel ☐ Box No. I	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to nove tions and explanations supporting such sta nts cited in the international application cions on the international application	ive step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial tement			
Date	This report contains indications rel Box No. I Basis of the opin Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishme Box No. IV Lack of unity of i Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cita Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects i Box No. VIII Certain observat of submission of the demand	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to noveltions and explanations supporting such stants cited in the international application cions on the international application Date of completion of 01.03.2006	ive step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial tement			
Date	This report contains indications rel Box No. I Basis of the opin Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishme Box No. IV Lack of unity of i Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cital Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects iv Box No. VIII Certain observat of submission of the demand	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to novitions and explanations supporting such stants cited in the international application cions on the international application Date of completion of 01.03.2006 Authorized Officer	ive step and industrial applicability elty, inventive step or industrial tement			
Date	This report contains indications rel Box No. I Basis of the opin Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishme Box No. IV Lack of unity of i Box No. V Reasoned stater applicability; cita Box No. VI Certain documer Box No. VII Certain defects i Box No. VIII Certain observat of submission of the demand	ent of opinion with regard to novelty, invent nvention ment under Article 35(2) with regard to novitions and explanations supporting such stants cited in the international application cions on the international application Date of completion of 01.03.2006 Authorized Officer	elty, inventive step or industrial tement			

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000338

	Box No. I Basis of the repor	rt		
1.	. With regard to the language, the filed, unless otherwise indicated	regard to the language , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	which is the language of a ☐ international search (un ☐ publication of the internation	nslations from the original language into the following language, translation furnished for the purposes of: der Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ational application (under Rule 12.4) v examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)		
2.	With regard to the elements * of the international application, this report is based on <i>(replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):</i>			
	Description, Pages			
	1-11	as originally filed		
	Claims, Numbers			
	1-15	as originally filed		
	Drawings, Sheets			
	1/5-5/5	as originally filed		
	☐ a sequence listing and/or a	ny related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing		
3.	☐ The amendments have rest	ulted in the cancellation of:		
4.5	☐ the description, pages☐ the claims, Nos.	and the second of the second o		
	☐ the drawings, sheets/figs			
	☐ the sequence listing <i>(sp</i> :☐ any table(s) related to se	<i>ecify)</i> : equence listing <i>(specify)</i> :		
4.	☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).			
٤.٤	the description, pages the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets/figs the sequence listing (specific any table(s) related to see	ecify): The manifest of the specific of the force of the series of the contract of the series of the		
		ome or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."		

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/IB2005/000338

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

3,4, 13

No:

Claims

1,2,5-12,14,15

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

none

No: Claims

1-15

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-15

No: Claims

none

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

The second of the second of the second of the second of

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1. The following documents will be referred to in this written opinion:

D1 = US-A-5 748 042

D2 = US-A-5 406 224

D3 = US-A-5 760 650

D4 = US-A-6 137 358

- 2. With reference to item VIII, the examiner is of the preliminary opinion that the application does not meet the requirements of Art. 6 PCT, because the subject-matter of the claims 1, 13 and 14 is unclear.
- 2.1 The subject-matter of the claims 1, 13 and 14 is unclear due to the not further defined relative term "low" (cf. PCT-Guidelines, 5.34). With particular reference to the Guidelines, it is noted that such an unclear term can not be used "to distin-guish the claimed invention from the prior art".
- 3. With reference to item V, the examiner is of the provisional opinion that the application does not meet the requirements of Art. 33 (2) and (3) PCT, because the subject matter of the claims 1-15 is either not new or does not involve any inventive step.
- 3.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is not new in view of each of the documents D1-D3.
- 3.1a The document D1 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) "a power amplifier (fig. 2 and 4) including a resistive element (32) connected at an output thereof (drain of 22) to maintain a *low* impedance at the output across a range of operational frequencies (col. 1, line 25-34)".
 - 3.1b The document D2 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) "a power amplifier (fig. 1) including a resistive element (R1) connected at an output thereof (drain of 12) to maintain a *low* impedance at the output across a range of operational frequencies (col. 3, lines 23-32)".
 - 3.1c The document D3 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) "a power amplifier (fig. 1 and 2) including a resistive element (161, 162) connected at an output thereof (drain of 150) to maintain a *low* impedance at the

output across a range of operational frequencies (col. 4, lines 35-55 in combina-tion with claim 3, lines 45-47)".

3.2 The document D4 discloses (the references in parentheses applying to this document) "a power amplifier (fig. 1) comprising a transistor for receiving a signal to be amplified at an input and for outputting an amplified signal at an output (col. 2, lines 47-52); and a modulated power supply (220, 270) connected to the transistor output (col. 3, lines 6-8).

Thus, the subject-matter of claim 13 differs from the arrangement known from D2 merely in that the amplifier further comprises

"a resistive element connected at the transistor output such that a low impedance is maintained at the transistor output across a range of operational frequencies".

Salar Barrella

It is noted that the document D2 does not disclose any particular amplifier for the RF amplifier (260) used in the arrangement of fig. 1, but merely suggests that "the power amplifier (260) is a field effect transistor amplifier (col. 2, lines 50-52)" whose drain bias is amplitude modulated (col. 3, lines 6-8).

Thus, the skilled person faced with the problem of realising the amplifier arrangement known from D2 would consider any suitable RF amplifier, e.g. one of the RF amplifiers known from D1-D3, and thus arrive at the subject-matter claimed in claim 13 without any inventive skill. Therefore, the subject-matter of claim 13 does not involve an inventive step.

- 3.3 The subject-matter of the independent method claim 14 is not new for substan-tially the same reasons as elaborated above with respect to the corresponding independent apparatus claim 1 (cf. point 3.1).
- 3.4 With respect to the subject-matter of the dependent claims 2-12 and 15, it is noted that the subject-matter of
 - claim 2 is not new in view of each of the documents D1-D3,
 - claims 3 and 4 does not involve an inventive step (cf. point 3.2),

The second second

o and a finally and the second and a second and the second and the

- claims 5-8 is not new in view of each of the documents D1-D3,
- claim 9 is not new in view of the documents D3, and does not involve an inventive step in view of each of the document D1 and D2,
- claims 10-12 and 14 is not new in view of each of the documents D1-D3.
- 4. With respect to item VII, the present application contains the following defects:
- 4.1 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 6.3 b) PCT, the independent claims are not drafted in the two-part form, with those features being known from the closest prior art document (D1) being placed in the preamble of the claim, and new features being placed in the characterising portion of the claim (cf. PCT-Guidelines, paragraph 5.05).
- 4.2 Contrary to the requirements of Rule 6.2 b) PCT, the present set of claims does not contain reference signs to increase the intelligibility of the claims (cf. PCT-Guidelines, paragraph 5.11).

- Cognification (MSE) (Addition of the Country Count